

## **Equality Impact Analysis**

This equality impact analysis establishes the likely effects both positive and negative and potential unintended consequences that decisions, policies, projects and practices can have on people at risk of discrimination, harassment and victimisation. The analysis considers documentary evidence, data and information from stakeholder engagement/consultation to manage risk and to understand the actual or potential effect of activity, including both positive and adverse impacts, on those affected by the activity being considered.

To support completion of this analysis tool, please refer to the equality impact analysis guidance.

Name of Policy/Project/Decision	Millwood Primary Special School – Request for approval to appoint
	contractor
Lead Officer (SRO or Assistant Director/Director)	Jeanette Richards
Department/Team	Children's Services
Proposed Implementation Date	5.6.2024-Cabinet Date
Author of the EqIA	Samantha Horrocks
Date of the EqIA	20.5.2024

Section 1 – Analysis Details (Page 5 of the guidance document)



#### 1.1 What is the main purpose of the proposed policy/project/decision and intended outcomes?

Millwood Primary Special School is a school catering for 160 primary aged (4-11) pupils with a range of additional educational needs and disabilities.

The proposal is to extend the school building in order to provide capacity to accommodate an additional 50 children.

The report to Cabinet on the 5<sup>th</sup> June 2024 is to seek approval to the appointment of a contractor to undertake this work.

Detailed designs for an extension of the school building have been developed by a design team working closely with the school leadership team and the school community. Those designs have then been subject to statutory consultation as part of the planning process.

The purpose of the extended capacity is to ensure that children and young people with a range of special educational needs and disabilities have access to high quality educational provision, in accommodation purposefully designed to meet their needs. The additional capacity will enable a greater number of children and young people to access specialist provision in a local setting, many of whom would otherwise have to travel significant distances to access such provision outside of Bury.



Section 2 – Impact Assessment (Pages 6 to 10 of the guidance document)

2.1 Who could the proposed policy/project/decision likely have an impact on?

Employees: Yes

Community/Residents: Yes

Third parties such as suppliers, providers and voluntary organisations: No – the additional accommodation is primarily for use by school pupils, and so the impact will be on pupils on roll at the school, and the staff employed in the school.

If the answer to all three questions is 'no' there is no need to continue with this analysis.

## 2.2 Evidence to support the analysis. Include documentary evidence, data and stakeholder information/consultation

The design team has developed the scheme through each of the RIBA stages, with each stage developing the level of detail following client/service user engagement.

The scheme now presented for approval has been developed to RIBA stage 4 which brings together the detail of the scheme, a programme for its implementation, and detailed costs. These are the culmination of the design process that has looked in detail at the needs of the children and young people attending the school, and those who will attend in the future.

The design process has had regard to the complex needs displayed by many of the children and young people.

Data:

The school currently caters for 160 pupils with a range of additional educational needs and disabilities. The scheme will provide additional accommodation to serve a further 50 children and young people.

The increase in capacity responds to the Specialist Sufficiency Strategy that recognises the increasing demands within the local population for special school places.



This scheme sits alongside other projects which include the provision of three new Special schools, and also additional Resourced Provision units linked to mainstream schools. All of this is designed to ensure a continuum of high quality provision to meet the needs of the local population.

#### Stakeholder information/consultation:

The scheme at Millwood Special School forms part of a wider Specialist Sufficiency Strategy that has been the subject of extensive consultation with a wide range of stakeholders.

The strategy forms part of the PSV management plan which is overseen by the PSV Board which brings together key stakeholders.

More specifically, in respect of Millwood, the input of the school leadership team and the wider school community has been an essential part of the process to inform design and delivery of the project and to ensure that the proposed building responds to the needs of its pupils.

2.3 Consider the following questions in terms of who the policy/project/decision could potentially have an impact on. Detail these in the impact assessment table (2.4) and the potential impact this could have.

- Could the proposal prevent the promotion of equality of opportunity or good relations between different equality groups? No
- Could the proposal create barriers to accessing a service or obtaining employment because of a protected characteristic? No
- Could the proposal affect the usage or experience of a service because of a protected characteristic? No
- Could a protected characteristic be disproportionately advantaged or disadvantaged by the proposal? No
- Could the proposal make it more or less likely that a protected characteristic will be at risk of harassment or victimisation? No
- Could the proposal affect public attitudes towards a protected characteristic (e.g. by increasing or reducing their presence in the community)? No
- Could the proposal prevent or limit a protected characteristic contributing to the democratic running of the council? No

2.4 Characteristic Potential Evidence (from 2.2) to demonstrate this impact	U	Impact level with mitigations Positive, Neutral, Negative
---	---	---



				countri
Age	There is no change to the age range of the school.	N/A	N/A	Neutral
Disability	Accessibility to the building to facilities, and to learning	Scheme design	During the design development of the extension scheme there has been a focus on accessibility and ensuring the space is welcoming and accessible to all.	Positive
Gender Reassignment	There is no change that will impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
Marriage and Civil Partnership	There is no change that will impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
Race	There is no change that will impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
Religion and Belief	There is no change that will impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
Sex	There is no change that will impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
Sexual Orientation	There is no change that will impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral



Carers	There is no	N/A	N/A	Neutral
	change that will			
	impact			
Looked After Children	There is no	N/A	N/A	Neutral
and Care Leavers	change that will			
	impact			
Socio-economically	There is no	N/A	N/A	Neutral
vulnerable	change that will			
	impact			
Veterans	There is no	N/A	N/A	Neutral
	change that will			
	impact			

### Actions required to mitigate/reduce/eliminate negative impacts or to complete the analysis

2.5 Characteristics	Action	Action Owner	Completion Date
N/A			

## Section 3 - Impact Risk

Establish the level of risk to people and organisations arising from identified impacts, with additional actions completed to mitigate/reduce/eliminate negative impacts.

3.1 Identifying risk level (Pages 10 - 12 of the guidance document)

Impact x Likelihood			Likelihood	
= Score	1	2	3	4



			Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Very likely
	4	Very High	4	8	12	16
L.	3	High	3	6	9	12
Impact	2	Medium	2	4	6	8
<u> </u>	1	Low	1	2	3	4
	0	Positive / No impact	0	0	0	0

Risk Level	No Risk = 0	Low Risk = 1 - 4	Medium Risk = 5 – 7	High Risk = 8 - 16
3.2 Level of risk identified				
3.3 Reasons for risk level	Low Risk-0			
calculation	No risk as positive ame	ndments have been mad	le during the design stage	of the build scheme.

Section 4 - Analysis Decision (Page 11 of the guidance document)

4.1 Analysis Decision	Χ	Reasons for This Decision
There is no negative impact therefore the activity will proceed	Х	There are no negative impacts from the activity
There are low impacts or risks identified which can be mitigated or		
managed to reduce the risks and activity will proceed		
There are medium to high risks identified which cannot be mitigated		
following careful and thorough consideration. The activity will proceed		



with caution and this risk recorded on the risk register, ensuring continual review

# Section 5 – Sign Off and Revisions (Page 11 of the guidance document)

5.1 Sign Off	Name	Date	Comments
Lead Officer/SRO/Project Manager	Paul Cooke	21/05/24	14
Responsible Asst. Director/Director	Stephen Holden	21/05/24	S. Halch
EDI	L. Cawley	21/05/24	

# **EqIA Revision Log**

5.2 Revision Date	Revision By	Revision Details